

Write your name here					
write your name here					
Surname	Other	names			
Pearson Edexcel	Centre Number	Candidate Number			
Level 3 GCE					
History Advanced Paper 1: Breadth study with interpretations Option 1C: Britain, 1625–1701: conflict, revolution and settlement					
and settlen	ilelie				
Wednesday 6 June 2018 – A		Paper Reference			
	Afternoon	Paper Reference 9HI0/1C			

Instructions

- Use **black** ink or ball-point pen.
- **Fill in the boxes** at the top of this page with your name, centre number and candidate number.
- There are three sections in this question paper. Answer **ONE** question from Section A, **ONE** question from Section B and the question in Section C.
- Answer the questions in the spaces provided
 there may be more space than you need.

Information

- The total mark for this paper is 60.
- The marks for each question are shown in brackets
 use this as a guide as to how much time to spend on each question.

Advice

- Read each question carefully before you start to answer it.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.

Turn over ▶





SECTION A

Answer EITHER Question 1 OR Question 2.

EITHER

1 How accurate is it to say that Charles I's Personal Rule (1629–40) was a financial success?

(Total for Question 1 = 20 marks)

OR

2 How accurate is it to say that relations between Crown and Parliament improved in the years 1660–88?

(Total for Question 2 = 20 marks)

SECTION B

Answer EITHER Question 3 OR Question 4.

EITHER

3 How significant was the role of migration in the population growth experienced by Stuart Britain in the years 1625–88?

(Total for Question 3 = 20 marks)

OR

4 To what extent was the growth of banking and insurance responsible for the expansion of the Stuart economy in the years 1625–88?

(Total for Question 4 = 20 marks)

SECTION C

Study Extracts 1 and 2 in the Extracts Booklet before you	answer this question.
---	-----------------------

5	In the light of differing interpretations, how convincing do you find the view that the Glorious Revolution 'transformed the relationship between King and Parliament'? (Extract 1, line 5)		
	To explain your answer, analyse and evaluate the material in both extracts, using your		
	own knowledge of the issues.	(0.0)	
		(20)	

	A.		
	, ·		
	,		

Extracts for use with Section C.

Extract 1: From John Miller, The Stuarts, published 2006.

The refusal to grant William sufficient revenue for life was the key decision of the Revolution. Although William was voted the civil list for life in 1698, he still had to come to Parliament each year for money for the army and navy. His financial dependence on Parliament was much greater than that of Charles II. It transformed the relationship between King and Parliament. Parliament now met every year for several months. But 1689 also marked the start of a slow and subtle process whereby monarchs found it harder and harder to use their traditional powers. Those powers were to some extent reduced by legislation. The Triennial Act of 1694 forced the king to call a general election at least once every three years. The Act of Settlement of 1701, besides excluding Catholics 10 from the throne and settling the succession, imposed restrictions on a future foreign king that showed the resentment of many MPs against their current Dutch king. He was not to appoint any foreigner to office, or engage England in a war involving any continental territory, or even leave the country, without Parliament's consent. But most constraints under which monarchs laboured 15 owed less to legislation than to the practical difficulties of working with Parliament.

Extract 2: From Steven C A Pincus and James A Robinson, What really happened during the Glorious Revolution?, published 2011.

Contemporaries and subsequent commentators have all noted that from 1689 parliament met every year. The post-Revolution parliaments also had a much larger set of legislative achievements. The average parliamentary session in the years after 1689 passed over twice the number of statutes than had sessions before the accession of William and Mary. Nevertheless, it is difficult to argue that the changes contained within the 1688 Revolution constrained the Crown to call parliament more regularly, let alone annually. The Declaration of Rights, that document so central to the Revolution Settlement, merely stated that 'Parliaments ought to be held frequently and permitted to sit.' Even this was no new development, as many contemporary commentators were well aware.

The Revolution Settlement was no more innovative with respect to financial accountability since financial oversight measures had been introduced previously in 1624, 1644 and 1667. After 1688 the government chose, but was not required, to provide the House of Commons with an annual estimate of its expenditure, though the Commons did create a statutory commission of accounts in 1691.

Every effort has been made to contact copyright holders to obtain their permission for the use of copyright material. Pearson Education Ltd. will, if notified, be happy to rectify any errors or omissions and include any such rectifications in future editions.

20

25